

EXHIBIT C



Korein Tillery—with offices in Chicago, St. Louis, San Diego, and Naples—is one of the country’s most successful plaintiffs’ complex-litigation firms, representing a broad array of clients in high-stakes lawsuits and delivering over \$18 billion in verdicts and settlements over the past two decades. Most of our attorneys have represented both plaintiffs and defendants at some point in their careers, and, combined, we’ve handled cases covering virtually every substantive area of the law. We’ve litigated cases for clients ranging from individuals and certified classes to governmental entities and billion-dollar, multi-national corporations. Collectively, we’ve tried hundreds of cases to verdict, with several verdicts exceeding ten figures. Our attorneys have been nominated for numerous regional and national trial lawyer awards, and we’ve won many landmark decisions in state and federal appellate courts, including the Supreme Court of the United States.

The National Law Journal has repeatedly honored Korein Tillery as one of the country’s top plaintiffs’ firms by naming it to its “Plaintiffs’ Hot List” seven times. In 2014 and 2015, Korein Tillery was named by the NLJ as a member of its top 50 Elite Trial Lawyers. The American Bar Association’s Securities Litigation Journal recognized two of our cases—*Kircher v. Putnam Funds Trust*, 547 U.S. 633 (2006) and *Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith, Inc. v. Dabit*, 547 U.S. 71 (2006)—as the two most important securities law decisions in 2006. Securities Litigation Journal, *Top 10 Securities Law Decisions of 2006* (Winter 2006). In *Kircher*, Korein Tillery served as lead counsel for the plaintiffs’ class from the initial trial court filing to the Supreme Court of the United States, where the Court reversed the Seventh Circuit in a 9-0 decision.

Korein Tillery has been appointed class counsel in more than fifty class actions and has successfully negotiated some of the country’s largest class action settlements. *See, e.g., Parker v. Sears Roebuck & Co.*, No. 04-L-716 (Ill. Cir. Ct. Jan. 16, 2008) (settlement valued at \$544.5 million); *Cooper v. The IBM Pers. Pension Plan*, 2005 WL 1981501, 35 Employee Benefits Cas. 2488 (S.D. Ill. Aug. 8, 2005) (\$325 million settlement); *Sparks v. AT&T Corp.*, 96-LM-983 (Ill. Cir. Ct. Nov. 4, 2002) (\$350 million settlement); *Sullivan v. DB Investments, Inc.*, 04-2819 (D.N.J. May 22, 2008) (\$323 million settlement); *Folkerts v. Illinois Bell Tel. Co.*, 95-L-912 (Ill. Cir. Ct. July 7, 1998) (\$252 million settlement); *Berger v. Xerox Corp. Ret. Income Guar. Plan*, 2004 WL 287902, 32 Employee Benefits Cas. 1362 (S.D. Ill. Jan. 22, 2004) (\$240 million settlement); *Malloy v. Ameritech*, 98-488-GPM (S.D. Ill. July 21, 2000) (\$180 million settlement); *City of Greenville v. Syngenta Crop Prot., Inc.*, 3:10-CV-188-JPG-PMF, 2012 WL 1948153 (S.D. Ill. May 30, 2012) (\$105 million settlement); *In re MCI Non-Subscriber Tel. Rates Litig.*, MDL 1275 (S.D. Ill. Apr. 19, 2001) (\$99 million settlement); and *Dunn v. BOC Group Pension Plan*, 01-CV-382-DRH (S.D. Ill. Mar. 12, 2004) (\$70 million settlement).

KEY TEAM MEMBERS

George A. Zelcs

George Zelcs focuses his practice in the areas of complex commercial litigation, including securities, antitrust, consumer fraud, qui tam/whistleblower, and pharmaceutical litigation in state and federal courts. Mr. Zelcs completed his undergraduate degree at Indiana University (B.A. Political Science, Urban Planning, and Sociology) in 1976. He received his law degree at Chicago-Kent College of Law and was admitted to practice law in Illinois in 1979. He is admitted to practice before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2013), Fifth Circuit (1999), Seventh Circuit (1980), Eighth Circuit (1996), Tenth Circuit (1982), and Eleventh Circuit (1993), the U.S. Tax Court (1984), the U.S. Court of Federal Claims (2013), the Supreme Court of the United States (2005), and the U.S. District Courts for the Northern and Southern District of Illinois.

Mr. Zelcs has frequently served as lead counsel in numerous complex multi-party litigations. Most recently, he is serving on the steering committee for the plaintiff consumer class in *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*, No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD (N.D. Cal.). He has represented the National Credit Union Administration in mortgage-backed securities litigation against several global investment banks resulting in over \$5 billion in recoveries. He has served an instrumental role in developing and litigating the claims in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* No. 13-cv-07789-LGS (S.D.N.Y.), resulting in \$2.3 billion in recoveries. He served as co-lead counsel in *Axiom Investment Advisors, LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC*, No. 15-cv-9323-LGS (S.D.N.Y.), a class action regarding “last look” practices in foreign exchange trading, resulting in a \$50 million settlement of breach of contract and other claims.

Mr. Zelcs has conducted bench and jury trials in state and federal courts throughout the country. In *Price, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.*, No. 001-0112 (Third Judicial Circuit, Illinois) (Byron, J.), he co-tried a class action asserting consumer fraud claims and achieved a \$10.1 billion dollar judgment.

Mr. Zelcs serves on the Chicago-Kent Board of Overseers and as a Trustee for the Chicago-Kent Institute on the Supreme Court of the United States. He has testified, at the invitation of the New York State Assembly, regarding financial guaranty insurance and representations and warranties made by mortgage originators in mortgage-backed securities.

Randall P. Ewing, Jr.

Randall Ewing is a partner in Korein Tillery’s Chicago office. Randall represents clients in all facets of high-stakes, complex litigation in state and federal court, including case

investigation, preparing pleadings, taking and defending fact and expert depositions, working alongside experts, managing discovery, briefing dispositive and other legal issues, preparing witnesses for trial, conducting cross-examinations in a federal jury trial, and appeals.

At Korein Tillery, Mr. Ewing's successful representations include:

- Developing claims and serving as co-lead counsel for customers asserting claims under Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, which resulted in a settlement valued at up to \$209 million, *Taylor v. Service Corp. Int'l, et al.*, 20-cv-60709 (S.D. Fla.);
- representing the National Credit Union Administration Board in suing various banks in federal courts from New York to California over allegedly misrepresented mortgage-backed securities, which has resulted in recoveries exceeding \$5.1 billion;
- representing a class of investors suing international banks for an alleged global antitrust conspiracy within the markets for foreign currency exchange instruments, which resulted in over \$2.2 billion in settlements, *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-07789-LGS (S.D.N.Y.);
- developing claims for and representing a class of investors suing international banks for an alleged global antitrust conspiracy in the secondary market for bonds issued by the government-sponsored entities Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Federal Home Loan Banks, resulting in \$386 million in settlements, *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litig.*, 1:19-cv-01704 (S.D.N.Y.); and
- representing classes of investors against banks for improperly delaying or rejecting electronic foreign currency exchange trades, resulting in a \$50 million settlement, *Axiom Investment Advisors, LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC*, No. 15-cv-9323-LGS (S.D.N.Y.).

Before joining Korein Tillery, Mr. Ewing worked as a litigation associate at Boies Schiller Flexner LLP. Among other trials, arbitrations, and appeals in which he was involved, Mr. Ewing was part of the team that represented Barclays Bank in relation to multi-billion dollar claims arising from its acquisition of Lehman Brothers during the 2008 financial crisis, which resulted in victory for Barclays after an extended trial and multiple appeals. Mr. Ewing was also part of the team that brought a first-of-its-kind federal challenge to a state constitutional amendment banning same-sex marriage (California's Proposition 8), which was tried and found to be unconstitutional, and he was responsible for briefing dispositive issues in a False Claims Act trial that resulted in the largest relator-only jury verdict in history.

Mr. Ewing earned his J.D., *summa cum laude*, from the University of Louisville Law School, represented the school at several national moot court competitions, was a member of the Law Review, and interned for federal and state appellate judges. After law school, Randall worked for two years as a law clerk to federal appellate and district court judges.

Steven M. Berezney

Steve Berezney is a partner in Korein Tillery’s St. Louis office. Mr. Berezney received his J.D., *magna cum laude*, from the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign College of Law in 2003, where he served as Editor-in-Chief of the Law Review. He is licensed in Illinois, California, New York, Missouri, and the District of Columbia, as well as the Supreme Court of the United States, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits, and nine federal district courts.

Since joining Korein Tillery in 2012, Mr. Berezney has managed and litigated all aspects of multi-billion dollar cases in federal trial and appellate courts against Wall Street investment banks arising from misrepresentations made about residential mortgage-backed securities (“RMBS”) in violation of the federal 1933 Securities Act and state law. Mr. Berezney played a significant role in obtaining over \$5 billion in recoveries for NCUA and CUNA Mutual, including running or co-running several of the cases. He also helped develop and litigate Sherman Act price-fixing conspiracy claims raised against 16 investment bank defendants in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-07789-LGS (S.D.N.Y.), resulting in \$2.3 billion in recoveries.

From 2022 to 2025, Mr. Berezney was co-class counsel representing college baseball coaches who alleged that an NCAA bylaw constituted an anticompetitive price fix of wages. The case settled for \$49.25 million with an average class member payout of nearly \$50,000 per coach, which the court called an “exceptional result.” *Smart v. Nat’l Collegiate Athletic Ass’n*, No. 2:22-cv-02125 (E.D. Cal.) (2025).

Mr. Berezney served as court-appointed co-lead counsel in two class actions filed in Missouri seeking unpaid franchise fees from video streaming services like Netflix, Hulu, DIRECTV, DISH Network, and Sling TV. *City of Creve Coeur v. Netflix, Inc. & Hulu, LLC*, No. 18SL-CC02819 (St. Louis County Mo.); *City of Creve Coeur v. DIRECTV LLC, DISH Network Corp., DISH Network LLC, and Sling TV L.L.C.*, No. 18SL-CC02821 (St. Louis County Mo.). Mr. Berezney is also pursuing a similar case in Texas. *City of Dallas v. Disney DTC, LLC*, No. DC-22-09128 (Dallas County Tex.). The *City of Creve Coeur* cases were the first of their kind in the nation and the first to obtain a substantive ruling recognizing the viability of the claims.

After graduating law school, Mr. Berezney served as a judicial law clerk for Judge Laura Denvir Stith of the Supreme Court of Missouri. Mr. Berezney then joined Husch Blackwell in 2004 and became a partner in 2012. While at Husch Blackwell, he represented clients in the agriculture, retail, tax, financial, and consumer goods industries, including Fortune 500 companies, in complex litigation involving contract disputes and business torts in both trial and appellate courts. Mr. Berezney was part of the team that won a \$1 billion judgment that, at the time, was the fourth largest patent infringement jury verdict in history, according to Bloomberg. *Monsanto Co. v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 4:09-cv-00686-ERW (E.D. Mo.

Aug. 1, 2012). He also served as either lead or co-lead counsel on bench and jury trials on behalf of both plaintiffs and defendants. *E.g.*, *TVI, Inc. v. InfoSoft Technologies, Inc.*, 4:06-cv-697-JCH, 2008 WL 239784 (E.D. Mo. 2008) (obtained a plaintiff's verdict in a bench-trying breach of contract case involving undelivered hardware equipment and a terminated software license); *Intertel, Inc. v. Sedgwick Claims Mgmt. Servs., Inc.*, 02CC-000772 (Mo. Cir. Ct., Apr. 29, 2008) (obtained a favorable defense jury verdict on behalf of a claims management company in which plaintiff sought more than \$50 million in damages based on an alleged failure under a contract to refer claims for investigation).

Ryan Z. Cortazar

Ryan Cortazar is an attorney in Korein Tillery's Chicago office. He has led briefing and argued before multiple federal district and appellate courts. Mr. Cortazar is the Vice Chair of the City of Chicago Board of Ethics, having been appointed to the board by the mayor and city council in 2021. His practice focuses on antitrust, consumer protection, copyright, and securities and derivatives litigation in federal and state courts. He has experience in all stages of litigation, including pretrial investigations, jury trials, and appeals. He also works pro bono in the areas of voting rights, habeas corpus, prisoners' rights, and educational rights.

Mr. Cortazar served as a law clerk to the Honorable David F. Hamilton of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. He also worked as a Redstone Fellow at the Chicago Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights, where he helped shepherd automatic voter registration through the Illinois legislature; trained and led election protection teams; and testified at state and federal hearings. Mr. Cortazar received his A.B., *cum laude*, from Harvard College and his J.D., *cum laude*, from Harvard Law School, where he was an articles editor of the Harvard Law Review. He is licensed in Illinois.

Peter O. Rocque

Peter Rocque is an attorney in Korein Tillery's St. Louis office. He received his J.D. from Washington University in St. Louis, where he was a recipient of the Scholar in Law Award. Prior to law school, Mr. Rocque received his B.A., *magna cum laude*, from the University of Pennsylvania, after which he attended graduate school at Columbia University to study public policy and political theory.

Mr. Rocque has extensive experience in the legal profession, having previously worked at some of the nation's largest law firms and leading public interest organizations. Since joining Korein Tillery, he has focused his practice on Antitrust, Consumer Protection, Qui Tam, and Commercial litigation, playing a significant role in the *NCUA*, *Senne*, and *Garbe* cases discussed below. He is licensed in Illinois and Missouri.

REPRESENTATIVE ANTITRUST CASES

In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation, No. 13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.)

Korein Tillery, working with co-counsel, filed a class action on behalf of individuals and businesses alleging that 16 global dealer banks violated the Sherman Act by conspiring to fix prices in the foreign exchange market. As a result of nearly six years of work by Korein Tillery and its co-counsel, costing the firms over \$30 million in case-related expenses, 15 of the 16 defendants settled for a total of \$2.3 billion, one of the largest antitrust class action recoveries in history. Mediator Kenneth Feinberg commented that these settlements “represent[ed] some of the finest lawyering toward a negotiated resolution that I have witnessed in my career” and described plaintiffs’ counsel as “superlative, sophisticated, and determined plaintiffs’ lawyers.” In 2022, Korein Tillery and its co-counsel tried to verdict the antitrust claims against the sole non-settling defendant.

In re Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litigation, No. 21-md-03010 (S.D.N.Y.)

Korein Tillery was appointed interim co-lead counsel representing a class of online publishers that sell ad space on their websites using tools purchased from Google. Plaintiffs alleged that Google engaged in a series of mutually reinforcing anticompetitive acts in adjoining markets to acquire and maintain monopoly power over the markets for these tools, in violation of the Sherman Act and California’s Unfair Competition Law.

In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation

Korein Tillery, working with co-counsel, filed the first consumer class action in the nation alleging that Google’s operation of Google Play Store and Google Play Billing created a wrongful monopoly over the distribution of applications and payment for in-application purchases in the Android ecosystem. Over ten similar complaints followed and are now consolidated in the Northern District of California, where Korein Tillery serves as a member of the consumer class steering committee.

In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litigation, No. 19-cv-01704 (S.D.N.Y.)

Korein Tillery, along with co-counsel, filed a class action on behalf of individuals and businesses alleging that more than a dozen major financial institutions violated the Sherman Act by conspiring to fix prices in the secondary market for bonds issued by the government-sponsored entities Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal Farm Credit Banks, and Federal Home Loan Banks (“GSE Bonds”). After defeating two motions to dismiss, Korein Tillery and co-counsel reached settlements with all defendants totaling \$386.5 million, which the court approved in June 2020.

Sullivan v. DB Investments, Inc., No. 04-02819 (D.N.J.)

Korein Tillery initiated a class action lawsuit on behalf of diamond purchasers, alleging antitrust violations by the nation’s largest diamond distributor. Korein Tillery became the first firm to successfully certify a nationwide class of diamond purchasers in such a case. Following consolidation with other cases, Korein Tillery was appointed co-lead counsel and helped negotiate a nationwide settlement that created a fund of \$323 million to compensate diamond purchasers, while providing additional injunctive relief to the class.

Smart v. National Collegiate Athletic Association, No. 22-cv-02125 (E.D. Cal.)

Korein Tillery currently represents plaintiffs in a class action alleging that the NCAA and its member schools violated the Sherman Act by engaging in an illegal buyer’s-side monopsony and conspiring to fix the compensation of an entire category of college baseball coaches at zero. Shortly after the suit was filed, the NCAA eliminated the bylaw preventing these coaches from being paid. The court recently approved the parties’ \$50 million settlement.

In re Crop Protection Products Loyalty Program Antitrust Litigation, No. 23-md-03062 (M.D.N.C.)

Korein Tillery was recently appointed interim co-lead counsel for a putative class of pesticide purchasers alleging that manufacturers violated antitrust laws by using exclusive “loyalty agreements” with leading distributors to foreclose competition from rival generic manufacturers, thus causing purchasers to pay supracompetitive prices for crop protection products. Litigation is ongoing.

Segal v. Amadeus IT Group, S.A., No. 24-cv-01783 (N.D. Ill.)

Korein Tillery was recently appointed interim co-lead counsel for a putative class of purchasers alleging that leading hotel companies used information-sharing software to artificially raise the price of luxury hotel rooms in markets across the United States. Litigation is ongoing.

In re Apple Inc. Smartphone Antitrust Litigation, No. 24-md-03113 (D.N.J.)

Korein Tillery was recently appointed interim co-lead counsel for a putative class of direct purchasers of Apple Watches alleging that Apple violated antitrust laws by restricting the compatibility of its smartwatches to only work with iPhones. Litigation is ongoing.

Fontenot v. National Collegiate Athletic Association, No. 23-cv-03076 (D. Colo.)

Korein Tillery represents former college athletes against the NCAA and its member institutions for unlawfully restricting athlete compensation in violation of antitrust laws. After preliminary approval of a class settlement in *In re College Athlete NIL Litigation* (N.D. Cal.), approximately 350 former college athletes opted out of that settlement. The *Fontenot* case now encompasses around 300 individual plaintiffs—including dozens of All-American and All-Conference athletes—who chose to opt out of that settlement. Litigation is ongoing.

OTHER CLASS ACTION AND COMPLEX LITIGATION

National Credit Union Administration Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation

The National Credit Union Administration (“NCUA”) is the independent federal agency created by Congress to regulate, charter, and supervise federal credit unions. On behalf of NCUA, Korein Tillery and co-counsel Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick filed approximately 20 federal lawsuits alleging that Wall Street investment banks violated securities laws by misrepresenting the quality of certain RMBS, causing billions of dollars in losses to credit unions insured by NCUA. Specifically, NCUA alleged that these banks violated the Federal Securities Act by representing in federally-regulated offering documents that all loans backing the RMBS complied with originator underwriting guidelines or had sufficient compensating factors to allow exceptions to the guidelines when, in fact, the majority of the loans did not.

Throughout several years of contentious litigation, involving several successful appeals, Korein Tillery and Kellogg Hansen obtained more than \$5.1 billion in settlements on NCUA's behalf, including:

- *NCUA v. JP Morgan Chase Bank*, No. 2:13-cv-02012-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$1.4 billion settlement in Dec. 2013);
- *NCUA v. RBS Sec., Inc.*, No. 1:13-cv-06726-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) (accepted offer of judgment for \$129.6 million plus fees in Sept. 2015);
- *NCUA v. Barclays Capital, Inc.*, No. 1:13-cv-06727-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) & 2:12-cv-02631-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$325 million combined settlement in Oct. 2015);
- *NCUA v. Wachovia Capital Markets LLC*, No. 1:13-cv-06719-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) & 2:11-cv-02649-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$53 million combined settlement in Oct. 2015);
- *NCUA v. Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.*, No. 1:13-cv-06705-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) & 2:13-cv-02418-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$225 million combined settlement in Dec. 2015);
- *NCUA v. Goldman Sachs and Co.*, No. 1:13-cv-06721-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) & 2:11-cv-06521-GW (C.D. Cal.) (obtained \$575 million combined settlement in Apr. 2016);
- *NCUA v. RBS Sec., Inc. et al.*, No. 11-cv-2340-JWL-JPO (D. Kan.) & 2:11-cv-05887 GW (C.D. Cal.) (obtained \$1.1 billion combined settlement in Sept. 2016);
- *NCUA v. UBS Securities, LLC*, No. 2:12-cv-02591-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$445 million settlement in Mar. 2017); and
- *NCUA v. Credit Suisse Sec. (USA) LLC*, No. 2:12-cv-02648-JWL (D. Kan.) (obtained \$400 million settlement in Mar. 2017).

NCUA was the first federal regulatory agency for depository institutions to recover losses from investments in these securities on behalf of failed financial institutions. Korein Tillery and Kellogg Hansen continue to prosecute suits on behalf of NCUA against certain RMBS trustees regarding their alleged failure to perform their duties.

***Senne v. Office of the Commissioner of Baseball*, No. 14-cv-00608 (N.D. Cal.)**

Korein Tillery was co-lead counsel for a class of minor league baseball players who alleged that MLB and its member franchises violated federal and state labor laws by failing to pay the players minimum wage, required overtime pay, or sometimes any wages at all. Following a landmark summary judgment ruling that—for the first time—recognized minor league players as employees, Korein Tillery negotiated a settlement with MLB less than a month before trial. The settlement resulted in players receiving back pay and in MLB rescinding its decades-old contractual rules requiring players to work long hours without pay for much of the year. In March 2023, the Court granted final approval of the \$185 million settlement, one of the largest wage-and-hour settlements in history.

Hoak v. Plan Administrator of the Plans of NCR Corp., No. 15-cv-3983 (N.D. Ga.)

Korein Tillery serves as co-lead counsel for a class of retired executives who allege that NCR illegally terminated their non-qualified retirement plans and underpaid their accrued benefits when it converted life annuities to lump sums. After the court granted class certification, Korein Tillery litigated on behalf of the class and eventually secured a judgment in 2024 totaling about \$45 million. The judgment is currently on appeal in the Eleventh Circuit.

Missouri Utility Tax Litigation

Since 2007, Korein Tillery has continued to represent Missouri municipalities in class action litigation to recover unpaid license taxes from wireless and wireline carriers. As class counsel in myriad separate cases, Korein Tillery has recovered more than \$1 billion for Missouri municipalities. In the process, Korein Tillery persuaded the Supreme Court of Missouri to issue an extraordinary writ (mandamus) declaring unconstitutional a state statute that sought to end the litigation by barring cities and towns from serving as class representatives.

Axiom Investment Advisors, LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC, No. 15-cv-9323 (S.D.N.Y.)

Korein Tillery, along with co-counsel, filed a class action against Barclays Bank PLC alleging that it breached its contracts with customers by manipulating the algorithms on its digital platforms for trading currencies. Korein Tillery and its co-counsel successfully secured a \$50 million settlement on behalf of the nationwide class.

United States ex rel. Garbe v. Kmart Corp., No. 12-cv-00881 (S.D. Ill.)

Korein Tillery and co-counsel represented a relator in a non-intervened False Claims Act case alleging that Kmart pharmacies defrauded the government and Medicare Part D by overcharging for prescription medications. Following years of litigation and an unsuccessful appeal, Kmart settled the case for \$59 million in 2017.

Lightfoot v. Arkema, Inc. Retirement Benefits Plan, No. 12-cv-773 (D.N.J.)

Korein Tillery served as counsel for a nationwide certified class of retirement plan participants alleging that their plan violated ERISA by failing to account for cost-of-living

adjustments (“COLAs”) when calculating their accrued benefits. Korein Tillery successfully negotiated a settlement in 2014, with class members receiving an average of \$11,000.

City of Greenville v. Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., No. 10-cv-188 (S.D. Ill.)

Korein Tillery served as co-lead counsel for a nationwide class of water providers seeking to recover damages from the manufacturer of the herbicide atrazine that contaminated public water supplies. After eight years of litigation spanning both state and federal courts, Korein Tillery secured a \$105 million class settlement that helped pay for the cost of removing atrazine from public drinking water. Public Justice honored the Korein Tillery lawyers involved in the case as finalists for its Trial Lawyer of the Year award.

Mansfield v. ALPA, No. 06-cv-6869 (N.D. Ill.)

Korein Tillery served as class counsel for United Airlines pilots who alleged that their union, ALPA, breached its duty of fair representation by failing to protect the pilots’ retirement benefits as part of United’s bankruptcy reorganization. Following years of contentious litigation, ALPA settled two weeks before trial for \$44 million, one of the largest recoveries ever in a duty of fair representation case.

Williams v. Rohm & Haas Pension Plan, No. 04-cv-0078 (S.D. Ind.)

Korein Tillery served as class counsel for participants in the Rohm & Haas Pension Plan who alleged that the plan violated ERISA by failing to include the value of future cost-of-living adjustments in calculating lump-sum distributions from the Plan. After eight years of litigation, which included a victory at summary judgment and a successful appeal, Korein Tillery obtained a \$180 million settlement, one of the largest in the history of ERISA.

Parker v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., No. 04-L-716 (Ill. Cir. Ct.)

Korein Tillery served as class counsel for consumers who purchased defective kitchen ranges from Sears. In January 2008, the court granted final approval of a settlement that provided complete relief to the class by requiring Sears to install anti-tip brackets for the affected members of the class, as well as requiring the installation of such brackets in the future. The settlement is valued at more than \$544.5 million. Public Citizen touted the case as an example of how consumer class actions benefit society and nominated Korein Tillery’s Stephen Tillery as Trial Lawyer of the Year for his role in leading the case.

***Hoormann v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.*, No. 04-L-715 (Ill. Cir. Ct.)**

Korein Tillery served as counsel for a nationwide class of consumers who purchased certain antidepressants for children and adolescents, alleging that the manufacturers failed to disclose dangerous side-effects for those vulnerable populations. Following three years of litigation, Korein Tillery obtained a settlement that established a fund of \$63.8 million to reimburse class members 100 percent of their out-of-pocket expenses.

CUNA Mutual Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation

From 2013 to 2019, Korein Tillery and its co-counsel prosecuted a series of cases against large investment banks on behalf of affiliated insurance companies seeking to recover losses on \$300 million of RMBS purchases. During six years of litigation, which included a successful appeal to the Seventh Circuit that reversed the district court's grant of summary judgment, all of the cases settled for confidential amounts.

***Csupo, et al. v. Google LLC*, No. 19-cv-352557 (Cal. Super. Ct., Santa Clara Cty.);
Taylor, et al. v. Google LLC, No. 20-cv-07956 (N.D. Cal.)**

Korein Tillery and its co-counsel represent consumers in lawsuits against Google for claims of conversion, alleging that Google secretly consumes cellular data owned by millions of Android users. The *Csupo* case—on behalf of a certified class of Android users in California—recently went to trial in California state court, where a jury returned a verdict in plaintiffs' favor for nearly \$315 million. The *Taylor* case—on behalf of a putative class of Android users outside California—is pending in the Northern District of California.

***Nessel v. Roku, Inc.*, No. 25-cv-11221 (E.D. Mich.)**

Korein Tillery, on behalf of the Michigan Attorney General, filed suit against Roku, Inc., alleging that Roku has engaged in unlawful and deceptive conduct in violation of federal and state laws through its collection of personal information of children that use Roku's services. Litigation is ongoing.